

RESOLUTION NO. 917

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors did on July 3, 1962, approve and adopt that certain Agreement entitled "Agreement for Improvement of Urban Thoroughfare System"; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of San Anselmo has heard, read, and is familiar with the contents of said Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of San Anselmo that said Agreement be and the same is hereby approved, and the Mayor and Clerk are directed to sign on behalf of the City.

* * * * *

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of Resolution No. 917 adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of San Anselmo held on the 14th day of August, 1962, by the following vote, to-wit:

AYES: COUNCILMEN: Rouse, Smith, Franchini, Capurro, Reichmuth
COUNCILMEN: None

NOES: COUNCILMEN: None

ABSENT: COUNCILMEN: None

Anita Garrison
CITY CLERK

AGREEMENT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF
URBAN THOROUGHFARE SYSTEM

THIS AGREEMENT, dated this _____ day of _____, 1961, by and between the COUNTY OF MARIN, a political subdivision of the State of California, hereinafter called "County", and the following incorporated cities within the County of Marin hereinafter jointly referred to as "Cities":

Sausalito	San Anselmo
Mill Valley	Fairfax
Corte Madera	San Rafael
Larkspur	Novato
Ross	

W I T N E S S E T H:

WHEREAS, the establishment of a system of major urban thoroughfares throughout both the incorporated and unincorporated areas of the County of Marin will promote the agricultural, commercial and industrial development of the County and the cities within the County;

WHEREAS, County and Cities have therefore concluded that a cooperative program of improvement of such an urban thoroughfare system is of mutual benefit to County and Cities and that such a program can best be financed by the expenditure by County of a part of its apportionment of the State Highway Users Tax Funds within the boundaries of Cities, said County expenditures to be matched by Cities;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED by and between the parties hereto as follows:

1. The Urban Thoroughfare System for the benefit of which this agreement is made shall consist of those streets, roads and highways lying both within and without the corporate limits of Cities which are described in Table I in Exhibit "A", attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

2. Subject to all of the conditions set forth in this agreement, County agrees that commencing with the fiscal year 1962-63 and continuing for a period of five (5) fiscal years thereafter, it will annually allocate and set aside from the County Road Fund in accordance with the terms hereof a sum equal to 50% of those funds allocated under the provisions of Section 2105 of the Streets and Highway Code of the State of California except for that portion restricted for Engineering and Administration.

The amount so provided shall be set aside for expenditure under this contract and shall be allocated to the Cities and County on the basis of the percentage of the total Urban Thoroughfare System mileage (adjusted by requirements for two, four or six lanes) which lies within the corporate limits of Cities and in the unincorporated areas as set forth in Table II, Exhibit "A", attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Any funds so provided and not allocated during the fiscal year shall be held by the County and added to the next year's fund allocation.

3. The total sum of County moneys to be expended upon the improvement of the Urban Thoroughfare System within Cities during the term of this agreement shall be distributed and divided among the Cities as nearly as may be done in direct proportion to the distribution of the Urban Thoroughfare System mileage among the Cities as set forth in Table II in Exhibit "A". Each party hereto agrees to so administer and perform its responsibilities and duties under this contract so as to achieve the distribution and division of said County moneys in accordance herewith to the greatest possible degree.

4. At the end of each calendar year, the distribution of the Urban Thoroughfare System mileage, as set forth in Table II in Exhibit "A", shall be amended to reflect annexations and incorporations during such calendar year. Table II of Exhibit "A" as so amended shall then govern the distribution of funds hereunder for the following fiscal year.

5. Additional streets, roads and highways may be added to the Urban Thoroughfare System and thus become eligible for the expenditure of funds hereunder by mutual agreement of County and the City or Cities affected. However, such additions shall not cause the amendment of Table II of Exhibit "A" and shall not alter the distribution of funds as set forth therein except as provided in 4 above.

6. Each City within which County funds are expended pursuant to this agreement shall provide matching City funds by bearing at least fifty per cent (50%) of the eligible costs for each project approved as hereinafter provided. The cost of right-of-way acquisition at City expense will be credited as a portion of City's matching funds if such right-of-way is acquired after final County approval of the specific project involved as hereinafter provided.

7. The funds allocated by County to Cities hereunder shall be expended in accordance with the following procedures:

a) A City desiring the expenditure of County funds on a portion of the Urban Thoroughfare System within that City during any fiscal year shall prior to December 31 of the preceding calendar year file with the County Director of Public Works a written request therefor containing such information as County may require including but not necessarily limited to a description of the project and estimated costs.

b) All such requests shall immediately after January 1 of each year be referred to a Priority Selection Committee consisting of one member selected by County and one member from each City selected by each City. The Priority Selection Committee shall review all requests and prepare a recommended priority list which will permit reduction of the total program for the ensuing fiscal year to within the County funds estimated to be available for that year. The recommended priority list shall then be submitted to the County Director of Public Works for review and shall be finally submitted by him to the County Board of Supervisors with his comments on or before February 1. Should the Priority Selection Committee fail to agree on or before January 30th by majority vote on a recommended priority list the County Director of Public Works shall prepare an amended priority list for presentation to the Board of Supervisors. The County Board of Supervisors shall review the recommended priority list and shall adopt on or before _____ a final priority list to govern distribution of County funds under this agreement for the ensuing fiscal year.

c) In preparing plans and specifications and in all construction work, the latest official State of California, Division of Highways, specifications shall govern.

d) Following approval of plans and specifications for a project, each City shall submit to County proof satisfactory to County that it has expended or set aside City matching funds at least equal to the County funds to be allocated to the project.

e) Following submission of such proof and provided that funds are available under the terms of this agreement, County shall allocate and set aside project costs eligible for payment from County funds under this contract, which costs shall be limited to surveys and other engineering, preparation of plans and specifications, right-of-way acquisition, and construction and inspection costs on approved projects.

Costs of street lighting, sidewalks, area flood control facilities, landscaping or other costs shall not be eligible costs.

f) Where the construction contract is to be awarded by City, all necessary ordinances and resolutions shall be adopted and other procedural requirements complied with as required by state law (see Streets and Highways Code Sec. 1623, 1680-1686), prior to payment of any County funds to City.

g) The party awarding the construction contract and paying construction costs hereunder shall maintain complete accounting of records which will enable the identification of all expenditures on any approved project. Upon final completion of any approved project, the construction contract for which was awarded by City, the City shall repay to the County any amount by which the County allocation for said project exceeded the actual costs to be borne by County moneys under this agreement.

8. This agreement shall not become effective until the same has been submitted to and approved by the Controller of the State of California as to the validity of the provisions herein to the extent that they obligate and encumber future apportionments to be made to County by the State of California from the State Highway Users Tax Fund.

9. This agreement is contingent upon receipt by County of annual apportionments from the State of California Highway Users Tax Fund.

Executed this 4th day of Sept, 1962.

COUNTY OF MARIN

By Walter Spahr
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Attest:

Joe L. Iron
Clerk

Executed this _____ day of _____, 1962.

CITY OF San Anselmo

By John M. Reichmuth
Mayor

Attest:

Anita Garrison
City Clerk

(Certified copies of resolutions authorizing the execution of this agreement by each party thereto should be attached to the agreement upon execution.)

TABLE I

MARIN COUNTY URBAN THOROUGHFARE SYSTEM
(Sequence does not indicate priority)

	No. of Lanes Required in 1960	Miles in each Jurisdiction		x 2 for 4 lanes; x 3 for 6 lanes
A. Sir Francis Drake Blvd. from U.S.101 to Lagunitas				
1. Portion from U.S. 101 to NW limit of Fairfax	4	Mi. in County	2.15	4.30
		Mi. in Larkspur	.08	.16
		Mi. in Ross	.82	1.64
		Mi. in San Anselmo	1.90	3.80
		Mi. in Fairfax	1.05	2.10
2. Portion from NW limit of Fairfax to Lagunitas	2	Mi. in County	6.81	
B. Intersection of S.F.D. Blvd. and Red Hill Ave. via "Miracle Mile" and 1st or 2nd Sts. in San Rafael to U.S.101	6	Mi. in San Anselmo	.58	1.74
		Mi. in San Rafael	1.84	5.52
C. From S.F.D. Blvd. via College & Magnolia to Tamalpais Drive in Corte Madera and thence to U.S. 101	4	Mi. in County	.38	.76
		Mi. in Larkspur	1.86	3.72
		Mi. in Corte Madera	.95	1.90
D. From U.S. 101 via E. Blithedale, Camino Alto, and Miller to Shoreline Highway at Dolan's Corner	2	Mi. in Mill Valley	1.36	
		Mi. in County	.74	
E. From U.S. 101 at Waldo via Bridgeway to south limit of Sausalito	4	Mi. in County	1.57	3.14
		Mi. in Sausalito	2.21	4.42
F. San Pedro Road				
1. Portion from Irwin St. to Bayview	4	Mi. in County	1.54	3.08
		Mi. in San Rafael	.93	1.86
2. Portion from Bayview to Vendola	2	Mi. in County	4.54	
		Mi. in San Rafael	1.80	
3. Portion from Vendola to Ranchitos Road	4	Mi. in County	2.22	4.44
G. Paradise Drive from U.S. 101 E. for 5 Mi.	2	Mi. in Corte Madera	1.64	
		Mi. in County	3.36	
H. D. St. in San Rafael from 2nd St. over Wolfe Grade to S.F.D. Blvd.	2	Mi. in San Rafael	.89	
		Mi. in County	.56	
I. Bojinas Ave. from S.F.D. Blvd. in Fairfax to Meadow Club	2	Mi. in Fairfax	1.00	
		Mi. in County	1.43	
J. From Camino Alto via Miller, Montford, Molino, Edgewood and Panoramic to junction with Shoreline Highway near Stinson Beach:				
1. Miller Ave. Portion	4	Mi. in Mill Valley	.51	1.02
2. Portion from intersection of Miller and Montford to Shoreline Highway near Stinson Beach.	2	Mi. in County	9.43	
		Mi. in Mill Valley	1.52	
K. From intersection of Camino Alto and E. Blithedale in Mill Valley to Tamalpais Drive in Corte Madera (Corte Madera Grade)	2	Mi. in Mill Valley	1.38	
		Mi. in Corte Madera	.92	

(continued)

page 2
x 2 for
4 lanes;
x 3 for
6 lanes

	No. of Lanes Required in 1960	Miles in each Jurisdiction		
L. Williams Ave. in Larkspur from Magnolia to U.S. 101	2	Mi. in Larkspur	1.08	
M. So. Novato Blvd.	4	Mi. in Novato	2.70	5.40
N. Novato Blvd. from So. Novato Blvd. westerly for 8.65 miles	2	Mi. in County Mi. in Novato	6.66 1.99	
O. Atherton Ave. from Novato to Black Point	2	Mi. in County Mi. in Novato	3.24 .04	
P. Lucas Valley Road from U.S. 101 past Nunes Ranch	2	Mi. in County	<u>2.20</u>	
GRAND TOTAL MILEAGE			75.88	

TABLE II

MILEAGE FORMULA FOR
DETERMINATION OF ALLOCATIONS
TO COUNTY AND CITIES FOR
UREAN THOROUGHFARE SYSTEM

	Actual Miles	Miles Adjusted for 4 or 6 Lanes	Per cent of total dollars to be allocated to each jurisdiction.
San Rafael	5.46	10.07	9.9
Novato	4.73	7.43	7.3
San Anselmo	2.48	5.54	5.5
Mill Valley	4.77	5.28	5.2
Larkspur	3.02	4.96	4.9
Corte Madera	3.51	4.46	4.4
Sausalito	2.21	4.42	4.4
Fairfax	2.05	3.10	3.1
Ross	<u>.82</u>	<u>1.64</u>	<u>1.6</u>
Total all cities	29.05	46.90	46.3
County	<u>46.83</u>	<u>54.69</u>	<u>53.7</u>
GRAND TOTAL	<u>75.88</u>	<u>101.59</u>	<u>100.0</u>